EXHIBIT 22

EXHIBIT 22

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1620-23 Filed 09/16/17 Page 2 of 4 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

[
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	WAYMO LLC,
6	Plaintiff,
7	
	vs. No. 3:17-CV-00939-WHA
8	
9	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
10	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING,
11	INC.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	
15	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
16	VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF CHRIS URMSON
17	Palo Alto, California
18	August 24, 2017
19	
20	Reported by:
21	KENNETH T. BRILL
22	CSR NO. 12797
23	Job No. 2678939-A
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 278
	Page 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1620-23 Filed 09/16/17 Page 3 of 4 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

was an invest they showed me a collection of 12:14:05			
MR. NEUKOM: Let me I just want to make 12:14:10 sure, if there were any attorneys involved 12:14:11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 12:14:14 MR. NEUKOM: in what you're testifying 12:14:14 about, then that would be privileged 12:14:16 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:18 MR. NEUKOM: and I would instruct you 12:14:18 not to answer. 12:14:19 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:20 That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:36 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	1	was an invest they showed me a collection of	12:14:05
### Sure, if there were any attorneys involved 12:14:11 THE WITNESS: Yeah.	2	documents that he had	12:14:08
THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. NEUKOM: in what you're testifying 12:14:14 about, then that would be privileged 12:14:16 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:18 MR. NEUKOM: and I would instruct you 12:14:18 not to answer. 12:14:19 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:20 That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	3	MR. NEUKOM: Let me I just want to make	12:14:10
MR. NEUKOM: in what you're testifying 12:14:14 about, then that would be privileged 12:14:16 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:18 MR. NEUKOM: and I would instruct you 12:14:18 not to answer. 12:14:19 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:20 That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	4	sure, if there were any attorneys involved	12:14:11
About, then that would be privileged THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:18 MR. NEUKOM: and I would instruct you 12:14:18 not to answer. 12:14:19 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:20 That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: Q. Who was it that showed you those? A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. Q. And I should have asked, who were the people in HR whom you asked to conduct this investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	5	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	12:14:14
### THE WITNESS: Understood. ### MR. NEUKOM: and I would instruct you	6	MR. NEUKOM: in what you're testifying	12:14:14
9 MR. NEUKOM: and I would instruct you 12:14:18 10 not to answer. 12:14:19 11 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:20 12 That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 13 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 14 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 15 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 16 ordinary. 12:14:35 17 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 18 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 19 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 20 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 21 Chin. 12:14:47 22 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 23 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 24 investigation? 12:14:53 25 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	7	about, then that would be privileged	12:14:16
10 not to answer. 12:14:19 11 THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:20 12 That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 13 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 14 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 15 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 16 ordinary. 12:14:35 17 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 18 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 19 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 20 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 21 Chin. 12:14:47 22 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 23 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:53 24 investigation? 12:14:53	8	THE WITNESS: Understood.	12:14:18
THE WITNESS: Understood. 12:14:20 That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the people in HR whom you asked to conduct this investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	9	MR. NEUKOM: and I would instruct you	12:14:18
That he showed they she showed me a 12:14:21 number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	10	not to answer.	12:14:19
number of files that he had accessed that were in 12:14:23 the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	11	THE WITNESS: Understood.	12:14:20
the, you know, the Google documents set of or 12:14:25 collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	12	That he showed they she showed me a	12:14:21
collection. None of them seemed out of the 12:14:29 ordinary. 12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	13	number of files that he had accessed that were in	12:14:23
12:14:35 BY MR. HUME: Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 20 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember.	14	the, you know, the Google documents set of or	12:14:25
BY MR. HUME: 12:14:36 Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	15	collection. None of them seemed out of the	12:14:29
Q. Who was it that showed you those? 12:14:37 A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember.	16	ordinary.	12:14:35
A. I think it I don't know for sure. It 12:14:40 would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	17	BY MR. HUME:	12:14:36
would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne 12:14:43 Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	18	Q. Who was it that showed you those?	12:14:37
Chin. 12:14:47 Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	19	A. I think it I don't know for sure. It	12:14:40
Q. And I should have asked, who were the 12:14:48 people in HR whom you asked to conduct this 12:14:50 investigation? 12:14:53 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	20	would have either been Chelsea Bailey or Joanne	12:14:43
people in HR whom you asked to conduct this investigation? A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	21	Chin.	12:14:47
24 investigation? 12:14:53 25 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	22	Q. And I should have asked, who were the	12:14:48
25 A. I don't remember. 12:14:53	23	people in HR whom you asked to conduct this	12:14:50
	24	investigation?	12:14:53
Page 182	25	A. I don't remember.	12:14:53
			Page 182

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1620-23 Filed 09/16/17 Page 4 of 4 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	
2	I,, declare under
3	penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
4	California that the foregoing is true and correct.
5	Executed on, 2017, at
6	·
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	SIGNATURE OF THE WITNESS
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 277